arXiv:1301.6402v4 [physics.atom-ph] 14 Feb 2013

Hyperfine structure of the 2^3S-state in the ^{9}Be atom.

Alexei M. Frolov*
Department of Chemistry
University of Western Ontario,
London, Ontario N6H 5B7, Canada

(Dated: February 18, 2013)

Abstract

A number of bound states properties and the hyperfine structure of the $2^3S(L = 0)-$state in the Be atom are determined from the results of highly accurate bound state computations. The energies of the hyperfine structure levels for this state are $\varepsilon(F = \frac{1}{2})$ = -13725.612 MHz, $\varepsilon(F = \frac{3}{2})$ = -5490.245 MHz and $\varepsilon(F = \frac{5}{2})$ = 8235.367 MHz, respectively. The hyperfine structure splittings are Δ_{12} = 8235.367 MHz and Δ_{23} = 13725.612 MHz, respectively.

---

[*] E–mail address: afrolov@uwo.ca

In this study we investigate the hyperfine structure of the triplet bound $S(L = 0)-$states in the four-electron Be atom(s). As follows from the results of astrophysical observations the triplet states in the four-electron ^{9}Be atom manifest an interesting hyperfine structure. The main goal of this study is to investigate this hyperfine structure and determine the corresponding hyperfine structure splittings which can be measured to very high accuracy in modern atomic experiments. Here we restrict our analysis to the lowest triplet $^{3}S(L = 0)-$state in some Be atoms. It should be mentioned that the bound triplet states in different four-electron atoms and ions have been neglected for quite some time. Various theoretical, computational and experimental works performed recently for the four-electron atoms and ions were mainly oriented to the singlet states in such systems. For the triplet bound states only a few highly accurate results are now known (see, e.g., [1], [2] and references therein). On the other hand, different triplet (bound) states in the four-electron atoms and ions are of great interest in a large number of physical problems, including spectral analysis of the stellar and laboratory plasmas, accurate prediction of the properties of the light element plasmas at high temperatures and arbitrary pressures, etc.

The Hamiltonian H of the four-electron atomic system is written in the form

$$H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e}\Bigl[\sum_{i=1}^{4}\nabla_i^2 + \frac{1}{M_n}\nabla_5^2\Bigr] - \sum_{i=1}^{4}\frac{Qe^2}{r_{i5}} + \sum_{i=1}^{3}\sum_{j=2(j>i)}^{4}\frac{e^2}{r_{ij}} \tag{1}$$

where $\hbar$ is the reduced Planck constant, m_e is the electron mass, e is the absolute value of the electron charge of the electron. Also, in this equation Q and M_n are the electric charge and mass of the nucleus expressed in e and m_e, respectively. It is clear that $M_n \gg 1$ and to form some bound states in the four-electron atoms/ions the following condition must be obeyed $Q > 3$. Here and everywhere below the subscript 5 denotes the atomic nucleus, while subscripts 1, 2, 3 and 4 stand for electrons. The four-electron Be atom has two independent series of bound states: singlet states and triplet states. The ground singlet state with $L = 0$ (also called the $1^{1}S(L = 0)-$state) has the minimal total energy. The total energies of the two bound $P(L = 1)-$states (singlet and triplet) are well above the energy of the ground $1^{1}S-$state (see, e.g., [3]). In turn, the bound $2^{3}S-$state of the Be atom is located above these two $P(L = 1)$-states. The electron configuration of this state is $1s^{2}2s3s$. Note also that the total energy of bound states in the four-electron Be atom must be lower than the total energy of the ground (doublet) $^{2}S-$state of the three-electron Be^{+} ion which equals $\approx$-14.324763 17647 *a.u.* Otherwise, any discussion of these states is not correct. Our best total energy determined for the bound 2^3S-state of the ${}^{\infty}$Be atom is -14.43005958 *a.u.* To obtain this energy we have used up to 1600 basis functions (see below) in our calculations.

The wave function of the bound $2^3S(L=0)-$state in the Be atom is represented in the following form

$$\Psi = \mathcal{A}_e[\psi(A;\{r_{ij}\})(\alpha\beta - \beta\alpha)\alpha\alpha] + \mathcal{A}_e[\phi(B;\{r_{ij}\})(2\alpha\alpha\beta - \beta\alpha\alpha - \alpha\beta\alpha)\alpha] \tag{2}$$

where the notation $\{r_{ij}\}$ designates all ten relative coordinates (electron-nuclear and electron-electron coordinates), while the notation $\mathcal{A}_e$ means the complete four-electron symmetrizer, while notations α and β denote spin-up and spin-down functions [4]. The explicit formula for the $\mathcal{A}_e$ operator is

$$\mathcal{A}_e = \hat{e} - \hat{P}_{12} - \hat{P}_{13} - \hat{P}_{23} - \hat{P}_{14} - \hat{P}_{24} - \hat{P}_{34} + \hat{P}_{123} + \hat{P}_{132} + \hat{P}_{124} + \hat{P}_{142} + \hat{P}_{134} + \hat{P}_{143} \\ + \hat{P}_{234} + \hat{P}_{243} - \hat{P}_{1234} - \hat{P}_{1243} - \hat{P}_{1324} - \hat{P}_{1342} - \hat{P}_{1423} - \hat{P}_{1432} + \hat{P}_{12}\hat{P}_{34} + \hat{P}_{13}\hat{P}_{24} + \hat{P}_{14}\hat{P}_{23} \tag{3}$$

Here $\hat{e}$ is the identity permutation, while $\hat{P}_{ij}$ is the permutation of the ith and jth identical particles. Analogously, the notations $\hat{P}_{ijk}$ and $\hat{P}_{ijkl}$ stand for permutations of the three and four identical particles (electrons). In real calculations one needs to know the explicit expressions for the spatial projectors only. These projectors are usualy obtained by applying the $\mathcal{A}_e$ operator to each component of the wave function in Eq.(2). At the second step we need to determine the scalar product of the result and incident spin function. After the integration over all spin variables one finds the formula for the spatial projector. For instance, in the case of the first term in Eq.(2) we obtain

$$\mathcal{P}_{\psi\psi} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}}(2\hat{e} + 2\hat{P}_{12} - \hat{P}_{13} - \hat{P}_{23} - \hat{P}_{14} - \hat{P}_{24} - 2\hat{P}_{34} - 2\hat{P}_{12}\hat{P}_{34} - \hat{P}_{123} - \hat{P}_{124} - \hat{P}_{132} \\ - \hat{P}_{142} + \hat{P}_{134} + \hat{P}_{143} + \hat{P}_{234} + \hat{P}_{243} + \hat{P}_{1234} + \hat{P}_{1243} + \hat{P}_{1342} + \hat{P}_{1432}) \tag{4}$$

The functions $\psi(A;\{r_{ij}\})$ and $\phi(B;\{r_{ij}\})$ in Eq.(2) are the radial parts (or components) of the total wave function Ψ. For the bound states in various five-body systems these functions are approximated with the use of the KT-variational expansion written in ten-dimensional gaussoids [5], e.g., for the $\psi(A;\{r_{ij}\})$ function

$$\psi(A;\{r_{ij}\}) = \mathcal{P}\sum_{k=1}^{N_A} C_K \exp(-\sum_{ij} a_{ij} r_{ij}^2) \tag{5}$$

where N_A is the total number of terms, C_k are the linear variational coefficients and $\mathcal{P}$ is the spatial projector defined in Eq.(4). The notations A and B in Eq.(2) stand for the corresponding set of the non-linear parameters $\{a_{ij}^{(k)}\}$ and $\{b_{ij}^{(k)}\}$ in the radial wave functions, Eq.(5). It is assumed here that these two sets of non-linear parameters are optimized independently of each other. In general, the KT-variational expansion is very effective for various few-body systems known in atomic, molecular and nuclear physics. A large number of fast algorithms developed recently for optimization of the non-linear parameters in the trial wave functions, Eq.(5), allow one to approximate the total energies and variational wave functions to high accuracy. In some cases, however, the overall convergence rate for some bound state properties is sufficiently lower than for the total energies and other properties. In particular, it was found that the expectation values of the two- and three-particle delta-functions, e.g., $\langle\delta(\mathbf{r}_{eN})\rangle$, converge very slowly. In other words, it takes a long time to approximate these expectation values to high accuracy. The total energy and other expectation values do not change drastically during such an additional optimization of the non-linear parameters in the wave functions. In this study we report a number of improved expectation values for the bound triplet 2^3S-state of the Be atom (see Table I). The improved expectation value of the electron-nuclear delta-function $\langle\delta(\mathbf{r}_{eN})\rangle$ from Table I allows one to make more accurate predictions of the hyperfine structure splitting for this state in the ^{9}Be atom (see below).

The total electron spin of the triplet 2^3S-state of the Be atom is different from zero. This means a possibility to observe a spin-spin interaction between the total electron spin $\mathbf{S}_e$ and nuclear spin $\mathbf{I}_N$ in those atoms where $\mid \mathbf{I}_n \mid \neq 0$. For instance, for the triplet state in the ^{9}Be atom we have $S_e = 1, I_N = \frac{3}{2}$ and we can see the triplet of hyperfine structure states with $F = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}$, where F is the absolute value of the total angular momentum operator $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{L} + \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}_e + \mathbf{I}_N$ for the whole atom, i.e. the sum of the electron and nuclear spins (for the triplet $S-$tates). In the first approximation, the levels of hyperfine structure are represented by the Fermi-Segré formula

$$H_{hf} = \frac{8\pi\alpha^2}{3}\mu_B\mu_N g_e g_N \langle\delta(\mathbf{r}_{eN})\rangle\frac{1}{2}[F(F+1) - I_N(I_N+1) - S_e(S_e+1)] \tag{6}$$

where $\alpha = 7.297352568 \cdot 10^{-3}$ is the dimensionless fine structure constant, $\mu_B = \frac{1}{2}$ is the Bohr magneton (in atomic units) and $\mu_N = \mu_B\frac{m_p}{m_e}$, where $\frac{m_p}{m_e} = 1836.15267261$ is the ratio of the proton and electron masses. The electron gyromagnetic ratio g_e = -2.0022 319304 3718 [6]. The factor g_N for the ^{9}Be nucleus is -1.17761 $\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)$ = -0.78507. Finally, the formula for the H_{hf} takes the form

$$H_{hf}(MHz) = 314.121190927 \cdot \langle\delta(\mathbf{r}_{eN})\rangle \cdot [F(F+1) - I_N(I_N+1) - S_e(S_e+1)] \tag{7}$$

where we used the factor $6.57968392061 \cdot 10^9$ ($MHz/a.u.$) to recalculate the result from the atomic units to $MegaHertz$. By using the expectation value of the electron-nuclear delta function $\langle \delta(\mathbf{r}_{eN}) \rangle \approx 8.739055$ $a.u.$ one finds the following formula for $H_{hf}(MHz)$

$$\begin{aligned} H_{hf}(MHz) &= 2745.12237[F(F+1) - I_N(I_N+1) - S_e(S_e+1)] = 2745.12237 \times \\ & [F(F+1) - \frac{23}{4}] \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

since for the triplet 2^3S-state in the ^{9}Be atom we have $S_e = 1$ and $I_N = \frac{3}{2}$.

The energies of the hyperfine structure levels are $\varepsilon(F = \frac{1}{2})$ = -13725.612 MHz, $\varepsilon(F = \frac{3}{2})$ = -5490.245 MHz and $\varepsilon(F = \frac{5}{2})$ = 8235.367 MHz, respectively. The corresponding hyperfine structure splittings are Δ_{12} = 8235.367 MHz and Δ_{23} = 13725.612 MHz, respectively. These values are significanly more accurate than our hyperfine splittings reported in [1]. Note also that these hyperfine hyperfine structure splittings can be measured in the modern experiments. Analogous problem for the $2^3P(L = 1)-$state of the ^{9}Be atom has never been considered with the use of highly accurate variational wave functions.

[1] A.M. Frolov and D.M. Wardlaw, Phys. Rev. A **79**, 064501 (2009).

[2] F.G. Galvez, E. Buendia and A. Sarsa, J. Chem. Phys. **117**, 6071 (2002).

[3] I.I. Sobelman, *Theory of Atomic Spectra*, (Alpha Science International Ltd., 2006), Chp. 2.

[4] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, *Quantum Mechanics. Non-Relativistic Theory*, 3rd. edn. (Oxford, England, Pergamonn Press, 1977).

[5] N.N. Kolesnikov and V.I. Tarasov, Yad. Phys. **35**, 609 (1982).

[6] *Handbook of Chemistry and Physics*, 85th edn., (CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2004).

TABLE I: The expectation values of some electron-nuclear (en) and electron-electron (ee) properties of the 2^3S-state of the $^{\infty}$Be atom (in atomic units).

$\langle r_{eN}^{-2} \rangle$	$\langle r_{eN}^{-1} \rangle$	$\langle r_{eN} \rangle$	$\langle r_{eN}^{2} \rangle$	$\langle r_{eN}^{3} \rangle$	$\langle r_{eN}^{4} \rangle$
14.274856	2.035997	2.632627	17.17728	148.3102	1468.243
$\langle r_{ee}^{-2} \rangle$	$\langle r_{ee}^{-1} \rangle$	$\langle r_{ee} \rangle$	$\langle r_{ee}^{2} \rangle$	$\langle r_{ee}^{3} \rangle$	$\langle r_{ee}^{4} \rangle$
1.503444	0.619276	4.712471	35.19267	319.9908	3276.500
$\langle \frac12 p_e^2 \rangle$	$\langle \frac12 p_N^2 \rangle$	$\langle \delta_{eN} \rangle$	$\langle \delta_{ee} \rangle$	$\langle \delta_{eeN} \rangle$	$\langle \delta_{eeeN} \rangle$
3.6075710	14.8929525	8.739055	0.39752	38.1614	0.0